

## THE EFFECTS OF DRIED WATERLEAF (*Talinum triangulare*) MEAL ON THE EGG QUALITIES AND ECONOMICS OF PRODUCTION LAYING HENS

\*<sup>1</sup>Sanda M.E. <sup>2</sup>Ukwuteno S.O. and <sup>1</sup>Omeje A.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Production, Kogi State University, Anyigba, Nigeria.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agricultural Economics, Kogi State University, Anyigba, Nigeria.

mary@davidsanda.com +2347039600082

### ABSTRACT

The effect of dried waterleaf (*Talinum triangulare*) meal (WLM) in feed of layers was tested on the egg quality and the economics of production of eggs. 64 Lohman brown pullets at the age of 24 weeks were allotted to 4 dietary treatments with 2 replicates of 8 birds each. T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, and T<sub>4</sub> contained 0%, 1.0%, 1.5% and 2.0% of dried waterleaf respectively. Egg weight varied significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) with T<sub>3</sub> (60.43g) and T<sub>4</sub> (58.71g) being significantly higher than T<sub>2</sub> (54.92g) and T<sub>2</sub> also higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) than the control, T<sub>1</sub> (51.52g). Shell thickness also varied significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) and it is higher in T<sub>3</sub> (0.48mm) and T<sub>4</sub> (0.46mm) and least in the control (0.37mm) and T<sub>2</sub> (0.38mm). Shell weight showed no significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ). The yolk weight showed no significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) though highest in T<sub>3</sub> (15.55g) followed by T<sub>4</sub> (14.79g) and least in T<sub>1</sub> (12.37g) which did not differ from T<sub>2</sub> (13.32g). Yolk height, yolk width, yolk colour and albumen weight showed no significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ). Dried waterleaf meal at 1.5% and 2.0% had outstanding effects on egg weight, shell thickness and on the yolk weight and was beneficial in reducing the cost of production of eggs from ₦190.21 in (T<sub>1</sub>) to ₦121.49 (T<sub>3</sub>).

**Keywords:** Waterleaf (*Talinum triangulare*), Egg quality, Economics, Layers

### INTRODUCTION

The increase demand for animal protein can only be met by enormous increase in the production of chicken (Uluocak *et al.*, 2000). With the ever growing population of Nigeria, the demand for meat and eggs of chicken

origin will continue. Chickens farmed for eggs are called egg-laying hens. Chicken eggs vary in colour depending on the hen, typically ranging from bright white to shades of brown and even blue, green, and recently reported purple found in South Asia, *Araucana* varieties (FAO, 2007). In 2000, there were 50.4 million tons of eggs produced in the world and an estimated 53.4 million tons of table eggs were produced during 2002 as reported by Muir and Aagrey (2003). A hen's egg production is at its peak from approximately 6 to 18 months of age (Lynn, 2013). Healthy pullets will begin laying at about 20 weeks of age. Excellent production would be considered 80% to 90%, but breed, housing, weather, management, parasite load, and nutrition can all affect rate of lay (Purina, 2007). For optimal performance of poultry, nutrient manipulation is recommended by Johri (2009), McDowell & Ward, (2009).

Waterleaf (*Talinumtriangulare*) is an herbaceous annual and perennial plant with a broad, worldwide distribution. It is mostly found in western Africa and western North America and eaten as vegetables. It is cultivated in Nigeria and Cameroon. Waterleaf (*Talinumtriangulare*) contains some minerals and phytochemical contents. The minerals includes magnesium, potassium, sodium, calcium and iron while the phytochemicals are saponin, oxalate, alkaloid, tannin and phytate (Tesleem *et al.*, 2008).

Growing consumer's demand for traditional food supplements and interest in self-medication calls for extensive research into the nutritional potential of *Talinumtriangulare* and its possible side effects (Ofusori *et al.*, 2008a). Waterleaf's crude protein content compares favourably with that of cowpea, peanut, millet, and cashew nuts (Egwin, 1979). Akachuku and Fawusi (1995) investigated the crude protein content of waterleaf leaves and tender stems and found it to be as high as 29.4% and 13.4%, respectively. Sridhar and Lakshminarayana (1993) also gave a report on high total lipids, essential oils, and alpha-tocopherols and beta-tocopherols in *Talinumtriangulare*. Antioxidants from waterleaf have been known to enhance brain functions (Bastianetto *et al.*, 2000; Nwoha *et al.*, 2007).

The vitamin C, vitamin E, and Beta-carotene, minerals (such as calcium, potassium, and magnesium), and soluble fibre (pectin) contribute to

waterleaf's highly-elevated antioxidant values and its total biological effect (Akachuku and Fawusi 1995). The production of antioxidants in the body declines with age which therefore necessitates the need for nutritional supplement (Nwoha *et al.*, 2007 and Ofusori *et al.*, 2008b). Consumption of waterleaf can be recommended as food supplement to protect the brain cells and provide numerous other functions that are beneficial to the body. Prior studies have shown that consumption of vegetables and other food supplements rich in polyphenols can reduce age-related neurological disorders (Weinreb *et al.*, 2004; Dai *et al.*, 2006; Nwoha *et al.*, 2007).

This research is therefore set out to determine the effect of waterleaf inclusion in the feed of layer birds with special attention on egg quality and economics of production.

This work is justified due to the rich nutrient profile of waterleaf (*Talinumtriangulare*) meal (WLM) which would be an advantage in obtaining good egg qualities.

### ***Objectives of the Study***

1. To determine the external and internal qualities of eggs of laying hens whose feed is fortified with dried WLM.
2. To determine the economics of egg production of laying chicken with feed fortified with dried WLM.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental Site:** This study was carried out at the Poultry Unit of the Livestock Teaching and Research Farm of the Department of Animal Production, Kogi State University Anyigba, Dekina Local Government Area, Kogi State. Anyigba is located in the derived savannah zone of Nigeria on latitude 7° 30' N and longitude 7° 09' E. (Ifatimehin and Ufuah, 2006 .)

**Experimental Diet:** The basal feed used for the study was compounded on the farm according to the standard feed for layers in accordance with the

*International Journal Of Agricultural Economics, Management and Development (IJAEMD)*  
 recommendations of the National Research Council (1994). All the feed ingredients were obtained from the experimental location.  
 The experimental diets are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1: Gross Composition of Experimental Diets for Laying Pullets Fed Waterleaf Meal (WLM)**

| Ingredients                                   | Treatments                |                            |                             |                           |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
|                                               | T <sub>1</sub><br>(0%WLM) | T <sub>2</sub><br>( 1%WLM) | T <sub>3</sub><br>(1.5%WLM) | T <sub>4</sub><br>(2%WLM) |
| WLM                                           | 0.00                      | 1.00                       | 1.50                        | 2.00                      |
| Maize                                         | 34.00                     | 34.00                      | 34.00                       | 34.0                      |
| BDG                                           | 13.00                     | 12.00                      | 11.50                       | 11.0                      |
| FFSBM                                         | 24.00                     | 24.00                      | 24.00                       | 24.0                      |
| Maize offal                                   | 10.25                     | 10.25                      | 10.25                       | 10.25                     |
| Rice offal                                    | 8.00                      | 8.00                       | 8.00                        | 8.00                      |
| Bone Meal                                     | 3.00                      | 3.00                       | 3.00                        | 3.00                      |
| Limestone                                     | 7.00                      | 7.00                       | 7.00                        | 7.00                      |
| Salt                                          | 0.25                      | 0.25                       | 0.25                        | 0.25                      |
| Methionine                                    | 0.25                      | 0.25                       | 0.25                        | 0.25                      |
| Vitamin/Mineral Premix                        | 0.25                      | 0.25                       | 0.25                        | 0.25                      |
| Total                                         | 100.00                    | 100.00                     | 100.00                      | 100.00                    |
| <b><i>Calculated nutrients and Energy</i></b> |                           |                            |                             |                           |
| Crude protein (%)                             | 17.10                     | 17.02                      | 16.98                       | 16.94                     |
| Crude fibre (%)                               | 4.31                      | 4.28                       | 4.26                        | 4.24                      |
| Ether extract (%)                             | 7.25                      | 7.23                       | 7.21                        | 7.19                      |
| Calcium (%)                                   | 3.88                      | 3.89                       | 3.89                        | 3.90                      |
| Total phosphorus (%)                          | 0.92                      | 0.92                       | 0.92                        | 0.91                      |
| Energy (Kcal/kgME)                            | 2594.4                    | 2599.56                    | 2602.14                     | 2604.72                   |

WLM = Waterleaf meal, BDG = Brewer’s dried grain, FFSBM = Full fat Soyabean meal.  
 Premix: Vitamin A 1000 IU, Vitamin D 200 IU, Vitamin E 1.01 IU, Vitamin K 0.2mg, Thiamine(B1) 0.15mg, Riboflavin (B2) 0.4mg, Pyridoxine (B6) 0.15mg, Niacine 1.5mg, Vitamin B12 0.15mg, Pantothenic acid 0.5mg, Folic acid 0.05mg, Biotin 0.002mg, Choline chloride 0.02g, Antioxidant 0.0125g, Manganese 0.008g, Zinc 0.002g, Iron 0.005g, Copper 0.0005g, Iodine 0.00012, Selenium 0.002mg, Cobalt 0.02g.

**Test Ingredient and Preparation of the Material:** The fresh leaves of waterleaf (*Talinumtriangulare*) were collected from different farms including the Teaching and Research Farm of Kogi State University, Anyigba. The harvested waterleaves were properly cleaned to remove dirt, weighed, chopped into pieces and dried in the glass house situated in the Faculty of Agriculture, K.S.U., Anyigba at a temperature of 43°C for 2 weeks after which it was milled and then included in the layers feed.

**Experimental Animal Design and Management:** The study was carried out between the months of October and December, 2014 for 8 weeks using a completely randomized design. The birds were housed in deep litre system. A total of 64 Lohman brown pullets at point of lay (24 weeks old) were purchased from a reputable farm and used for the study. They were allotted on similar weight basis into four treatments (i.e. T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>) and two replicates each, such that there were eight birds per replicates. T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, and T<sub>4</sub> were given 0%, 10%, 15% and 20% of the milled dried waterleaf respectively, in the feed. The ratio of additions of dried waterleaf in the feed was 0g: 1kg, 10g: 1kg, 15g: 1kg, and 20g: 1kg respectively of the on-farm feed. T<sub>1</sub> (control) was given only the on-farm feed without waterleaf inclusion throughout the study. Completely randomized design was used for this study. The birds were housed in deep litre system.

### **Data Collection**

**Egg Quality Analysis:** On weekly basis 24 eggs (i.e. 3eggs per replicate) were selected from the treatments for assessment of the internal and external characteristics.

**External egg qualities:** Egg weight and shell weight were taken using an electronic balance while the shell colour was observed through eye view and the colour was determined using a color chart.

**Internal egg qualities:** Albumen height, Yolk height and Yolk width were taken using the vernier calliper. The Albumen Weight was determined using an electronic scale

**Chemical Analysis:** Sample of the tested ingredient (*Talinumtriangulare*) was analysed for proximate composition according to the method of AOAC (1990) at the Biochemistry Laboratory, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria.

**Feed Cost: Parameters:** The feed cost parameters determined were Feed cost per kg (~~₦~~/kg), Feed cost/100kg (~~₦~~/100kg), Feed consumed/egg (g), Feed/dozen egg(g) and Feed cost/dozen eggs (~~₦~~). Feed cost per Kg is based on the prevailing cost of ingredients at the time of the study.

Feed consumed per dozen eggs = 
$$\frac{\text{Feed intake} \times 12}{\text{Total number of eggs.}}$$

### StatisticalAnalysis

All data collected including cost of feed for the various treatments were analysed using a one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) according to Snedecor and Cochran (1992) using general linear model (GLM) procedures of Statistical Analysis System (SAS) Inc., (1998). Values were considered significant at  $P < 0.05$ . Duncan Multiple Range Test was used to separate the means (Duncan 1955).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of proximate analysis of dried waterleaf meal (WLM), effects of WLM on external quality and internal quality of eggs and the economics of production of eggs are presented in Tables 2, 3, 4 and 5 respectively.

**Table 2: Proximate Composition and energy value of Dried Waterleaf Meal (WLM) fed to Laying Hens.**

| <b>Determined Analysis</b> | <b>Dried Waterleaf Meal</b> |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Dry Matter (%)             | 94.56                       |
| Crude Protein (%)          | 30.94                       |
| Crude Fibre (%)            | 5.88                        |
| Ether extract (%)          | 1.56                        |
| Ash (%)                    | 7.84                        |
| NFE (%)                    | 53.78                       |
| Energy (kcal/kgME)         | 3530.25                     |

NFE = Nitrogen Free Extract

ME=Metabolizable Energy, Metabolizable energy was calculated using the formula of Pauzenga (1985).

### ***External Quality of Eggs of Laying Hens***

The results of mean egg weight, shell weight and shell thickness are shown in Table 3.

**Table 3: External Quality of Eggs of Laying Hens Given Dried waterleaf Meal**

| <b>Parameters</b>    | <b>Treatments</b>    |                      |                      |                      | <b>SEM</b> | <b>LOS</b> |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|
|                      | <b>T<sub>1</sub></b> | <b>T<sub>2</sub></b> | <b>T<sub>3</sub></b> | <b>T<sub>4</sub></b> |            |            |
| Egg Weight (g)       | 51.52 <sup>c</sup>   | 54.92 <sup>b</sup>   | 60.43 <sup>a</sup>   | 58.71 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.28       | *          |
| Shell Weight (g)     | 5.75                 | 5.83                 | 5.75                 | 6.90                 | 0.17       | NS         |
| Shell Thickness (mm) | 0.37 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.38 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.48 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.46 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.00       | *          |

a,b,c,d = means on same row with the same letters are not significantly different (P>0.05). \* = significant. SEM = Standard error of mean, LOS = Level of significance, NS = No Significant difference.

**Internal Quality of Eggs of Laying Hens**

The effect of dried WLM on internal egg quality is shown in Table 4. The yolk height, yolk width, yolk colour and albumen weight were not significantly different ( $p>0.05$ ). The yolk weight was significantly different ( $p<0.05$ ) among all the experimental treatments. Yolk height range was from 5.28cm to 5.55cm, yolk width from 4.64cm to 4.93, and yolk weight from 12.37g to 15.55g.

**Table 4: Internal Quality of Eggs of Laying Hens Given Dried waterleaf Meal**

| Treatment Parameters | T <sub>1</sub>     | T <sub>2</sub>      | T <sub>3</sub>     | T <sub>4</sub>      | SEM    | LOS |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------|-----|
| Yolk Height (cm)     | 5.34               | 5.40                | 5.55               | 5.28                | 0.03   | NS  |
| Yolk Width (cm)      | 4.64               | 4.64                | 4.93               | 4.71                | 3.21   | NS  |
| Yolk Weight (g)      | 12.37 <sup>c</sup> | 13.32 <sup>bc</sup> | 15.55 <sup>a</sup> | 14.79 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.17   | *   |
| Yolk Colour          | 576.00             | 576.00              | 576.00             | 576.00              | 576.00 | NS  |
| ALB WT (g)           | 33.67              | 35.35               | 35.92              | 36.86               | 0.36   | NS  |

a, b, c, d = means on same row with the same letters are not significantly different ( $P>0.05$ ).

ALB=albumen, WT=weight. \* = significant. SEM = Standard error of mean, LOS = Level of significance, NS =No Significant difference.

**Proximate Composition of Waterleaf (WLM) fed to Laying Hens.**

The proximate composition of dried waterleaf is found in Table 2 (DM 94.56%, CP 30.94%, CF 5.88%, EE 1.56%, Ash 7.84%, NFE 53.78%, Energy 3530.25(kcal/kgME). The crude protein (CP) of waterleaf for this study is higher than what was reported by Akachuku and Fawusi (1995) who investigated the C P content of waterleaf leaves and tender stems and found it to be 29.4% and 13.4%, respectively. Nutritionally, waterleaf's CP content compares favourably with that of cowpea, peanut, millet, and cashew nuts (Egwin, 1979).

### **External Qualities of Eggs**

Waterleaf inclusion levels influenced egg weight at different magnitude in the present study. Egg weights with a range of 51.52g to 60.43g were observed. T<sub>3</sub> (60.43g) and T<sub>4</sub> (58.71) were significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) than T<sub>2</sub> (54.92) which was significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) than the control (51.52). The observed values agree with the range of egg weight values observed by Ologhobo *et al.* (2014) when Moringa Leaf Meal was fed to laying chickens and was close to the value of 57g reported by McDonald *et al* (1995). However, mean egg weight values were slightly low compared with standard egg weight (58g) reported by Shenstone (1968) and Katule (1989). The reason for the low egg weight values could have been due to the fact that laying chickens used in the present study were within the first phase of egg production. The waterleaf inclusion showed no significant effect ( $p > 0.05$ ) on shell weight. However, egg shell thickness observed in this study (0.37 - 0.48 mm) is better than 0.32 mm reported by Abutu *et al.* (2008) and also slightly above the range observed by Orunmuyi *et al.* (2007). T<sub>3</sub> (0.48 mm) and T<sub>4</sub> (0.46 mm) were higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) than T<sub>2</sub> (0.38 mm) and T<sub>1</sub> (0.37 mm). T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> were similar. Stadelman (1977) reported that a sound egg with shell thickness of not less than 0.33 mm can pass through the market handling without any breakage. The result showed that the hens received adequate mineral supply especially calcium which aided the thickness of the shell. The egg shell thickness is an important indicator of the specific gravity (relative density) of eggs (Oluyemi and Roberts, 1983).

### **Internal Qualities of Eggs**

The yolk height, yolk width, yolk colour and albumen weight of the eggs from hens given dried waterleaf in their feeds had no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ). The result shows that the quality of yolk of the control hens were close to those of the experimental hens. Yolk colour observed throughout the experiment were 576\*\*\*O Nickel Yellow coded PY53 (Sennelier, 2009).

### **Economics of Egg Production**

As shown in Table 5, the dietary inclusion of dried waterleaf meal at graded levels caused significant reduction ( $P < 0.05$ ) in total feed cost. This can be

*International Journal Of Agricultural Economics, Management and Development (IJAEMD)*  
 attributed to the fact that waterleaf vegetable was obtained at a cheap rate and therefore the waterleaf meal based diet were cheaper compared to the control which had no waterleaf meal inclusion.

**TABLE 5: Economics of Feeding Waterleaf Meal (WLM) To Laying Pullets.**

| Parameters                             | T <sub>1</sub><br>(0%WLM) | T <sub>2</sub><br>(1%WLM) | T <sub>3</sub><br>(1.5%WLM) | T <sub>4</sub><br>(2%WLM) | SEM  | LOS |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------|-----|
| Feed cost/100kg( <del>N</del> /100 kg) | 10,066.00 <sup>a</sup>    | 8,218.00 <sup>b</sup>     | 8,210.00 <sup>c</sup>       | 8,202.00 <sup>d</sup>     | 0.00 | *   |
| Feed cost per kg ( <del>N</del> /kg)   | 100.66 <sup>a</sup>       | 82.18 <sup>b</sup>        | 82.10 <sup>c</sup>          | 82.02 <sup>d</sup>        | 0.00 | *   |
| Feed consumed/egg(g)                   | 157.47 <sup>a</sup>       | 154.95 <sup>a</sup>       | 123.31 <sup>b</sup>         | 157.82 <sup>a</sup>       | 0.53 | *   |
| Feed/dozen egg(g)                      | 1,889.64 <sup>a</sup>     | 1,859.34 <sup>a</sup>     | 1,479.64 <sup>b</sup>       | 1,893.77 <sup>a</sup>     | 6.38 | *   |
| Feed cost/dozen eggs ( <del>N</del> )  | 190.21 <sup>a</sup>       | 152.80 <sup>b</sup>       | 121.49 <sup>c</sup>         | 155.33 <sup>b</sup>       | 0.52 | *   |

a,b,c,d = means on same row with the same letters are not significantly different (P>0.05),  
 \* = Significant, LOS = Level of significance, SEM = Standard error of mean, NS = not significant.

Feed cost per 100Kg feed varied significantly (P<0.05) between the control (~~N~~10,066) and the WLM diet T<sub>2</sub> (~~N~~8, 218), T<sub>3</sub> (~~N~~8,210) and T<sub>4</sub> (~~N~~8,202). The feed cost of the WLM based diet T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> varied significantly (P<0.05) and in parallel to inclusion level, T<sub>4</sub> being the cheapest at the rate of ~~N~~8,202.  
 Feed cost per Kg feed followed a similar trend with feed cost per 100Kg feed with significant difference at (P<0.05), with values as follows, T<sub>1</sub> (~~N~~100.66), T<sub>2</sub> (~~N~~82.18), T<sub>3</sub> (~~N~~82.10) and T<sub>4</sub> (~~N~~82.02). Feed consumed to produce an egg varied significantly (P<0.05) between the control and T<sub>3</sub> and between the other WLM based diets T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>. T<sub>3</sub> being the lowest at ~~N~~123.31.

Feed cost per dozen eggs varied significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) between control and the WLM based diets and within the WLM based diets;  $T_3$  at ₦121.49 was significantly reduced ( $P<0.05$ ) than  $T_2$  (₦152.80),  $T_4$  (₦155.33) and  $T_1$  (₦190.21).  $T_2$  and  $T_4$  were similar but were significantly lower ( $P<0.05$ ) than the control.

The result of this study agree with the findings of Ayoola and Sanda (2011) who reported highest economic yield in weight, profitability and efficiency in broilers whose feed were supplemented with vitamins A, C, E, and Selenium and Soltan (2008) who reported highest net income in the layers group that was supplemented with 780ppm organic acid.

This work also agrees with Onyimonyi *et al.* (2009) who reported that incorporation of 0.5% neem leaf meal (NLM) in the diets of broilers yielded better performance and economic benefit to farmers.

Dried waterleaf meal was beneficial in reducing the cost of egg production.

## **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

The study revealed that the inclusion of dried waterleaf meal at 1.5% and 2.0% had outstanding effects on egg weight, shell thickness and on the yolk weight and was beneficial to reduction in the cost of egg production.

Based on the findings, it is recommended that poultry farmers include dried waterleaf at 15g per kg in the diet of laying hens. To achieve better financial returns, cheaper ingredients rich in protein, vitamins and minerals like waterleaf should be included in layers' diets in order to reduce cost of production.

## REFERENCES

- Abutu, J.A., Ugwu, S.O.C. and Onyimonyi, J.B. (2008). A comparison of the physical characteristics of eggs laid by exotic and local hens at various stages. *Proceedings of 42<sup>nd</sup> Annual Conference of the Agricultural Society of Nigeria (ASAN)* pp. 635-639.
- Akachuku, C.O. and Fawusi, M.A.O. (1995). Growth Characteristics, Yield and Nutritional Value of Waterleaf, *Talinum triangulare (Jacq)*: Wild in a Semi-Wild Environment *Discovery and Innovation* 7:163-172.
- AOAC - Association of Official Analytical Chemists (1990). Official Method of Analysis: 2; 15th ed.. Washington D.C. pp. 375-379.
- Ayoola J.B and Sanda M.E. (2011). An Experimental Evaluation of the Economic Efficacy of Vitamin Supplements in Poultry Feeds in Kogi State, Nigeria. *Proceedings of the 16th Annual Conference of the Animal Science Association of Nigeria (ASAN)* Anyigba. September 12 – 15, 2011 pp 210-214.
- Bastianetto, S., Zheng, W.H. and Quirion, R. (2000). Neuroprotective Abilities of resveratrol and other red wine constituents against nitric-oxide related toxicity in cultured hippocampal neurons. *Brain Pharmacology*; 113:711-720.
- Dai, Q; Borenstein, A.R., Wu, Y., Jackson, J.C. and Larson, E.B. (2006). Fruits and vegetable juices and Alzheimer's diseases: *The kame project*. 65:1193-1197.
- Duncan, D B. (1955); Multiple range and multiple F tests. *Biometrics* 11:1–42.
- Egwin, P.O. (1979). A New Biochemical Rating System for Vegetable Food Protein: *Nigeria Journal of Science*. 13:323-329.

FAO - Food and Agriculture Organization (2007).List of breeds documented in the Global Databank for Animal Genetic Resources: annex to The State of the World's Animal Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture. Rome: *Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations*. Accessed online 17/06/2015.<http://www.fao.org/docrep/010/a1250e/a1250e00.htm>

Fasuyi, A.O. (2006). Nutritional Potential of some Tropical Vegetable Meals: Chemical characterization and functional properties, *African Biotechnology* 5(1):49-53.

Ifatimehin, O.O. and M.E. Ufuah, 2006. "The Effect of a Spatial Structure on Rural Economy: A Case of Kogi State University on Anyigba and its Environ", *Confluence Journal of Environmental Studies*, Volume 1(2):61-70.

Johri, T.S. 2009. Poultry Nutrition Research in India and Its Perspective. Central Avian Research Institute [Online] Accessed on 12/09/2010. Available online at: [http://www.fao.org/docrep/article/agrippa/659\\_en00.htm](http://www.fao.org/docrep/article/agrippa/659_en00.htm) pp 1-28.

Katule, A.N.N. (1989). Cross breeding as a tool for genetic improvement of chicken in sub-optimal environment. *Veterinary Journal* 28:325-333.

Lynn, L. (2013). Homestead Animals, Steps to Self Sufficiency: Accessed online 10/05/2014. <http://www.theselfsufficienthomeacre.com/2013/09/how-to-prepare-for-butcher.html>

McDonald P., Edwards R.A., Greenhalgh J.F.D. and Morgan C.A. (1998).Vitamins and Minerals. In: *Animal Nutrition*. 5th Edition.Longman. 5: 66-127

McDowell L.R. and Ward N.E., 2009.Optimum Vitamin Nutrition for Poultry. Accessed 12/11/2010. Available online:

- Muir, W.M and Aggrey, S.E. (2003) Poultry Genetics, Breeding and Biotechnology. pp. 685
- National Research Council (NRC), 1994. Nutrient requirements of poultry. 9th Ed., National Academy Press, Washington, DC. pp: 19-34.
- Nwoha, P.U., Ojo, G.B., Ajayi, S.A., Ofusori, D.A., Oluwayinka, O.P., Odukoya, S.A. and Falana, B.A. (2007). *Garcinia kola* diet provides slight protection to mice hippocampal neurons against neurotoxins. *Journal Environmental Neuroscience Biomed*, 1(2):125-136.
- Ofusori DA, Enaibe BU, Adelakun AE, Adesanya OA, Ude RA, Oluyemi KA, Okwuonu CU and Apantaku OA . Microstructural Study of the Effect of ethanolic extract of Cashew stem bark *Anacardium occidentale* on the Brain and Kidney of Swiss albino mice. *TIJAM*; 2008b, 5 (2):
- Ofusori, D.A., Adelakun, A.E., Ayoka, A.O., Oluwayinka, O.P., Omotoso, E.O., Odukoya, S.A. and Adeyemi, D.O. (2008a). Waterleaf (*Talinum triangulare*) Enhances Cerebral Functions in Swiss albino mice. *Journal Neuro Science (Turkish)*; 25:239-246.
- Ologhobo, D., Akangbe, E.I., Adejumo, I.O and Adeleye, O. (2014). Effect of *Moringa oleifera* Leaf Meal as Replacement for Oxytetracycline on Carcass Characteristics of the Diets of Broiler Chickens: *Annual Research & Review in Biology*, 4(2): pp.423-431. [www.sciencedomain.org](http://www.sciencedomain.org)
- Oluyemi, J.A. and Roberts, F.A. (1983). Poultry Production in Warm Wet Climate: *Macmillian publishers*, London. pp. 147-168.

- Onyimonyi A.E., Olabode A. and Okeke G.C. (2009).Performance and Economic Characteristics of Broilers Fed Varying Dietary Levels of Neem Leaf Meal (*Azadirachta indica*).*International Journal of Poultry Science* 8(3):256-259
- Orunmuyi, M., Okezie, O. I., Bawa, G.S., and Ojo, O.A. (2007).A comparism of egg quality traits of four species.*Proceeding of the 41<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference of the Agricultural Society of Nigeria (ASAN)*.
- Pauzenga, U. (1985). Feeding Parent Stock.*Journal of Zootechnica International*, December: 22-24.
- Purina, M. (2007) Lifestyle of Birds.Accessed online 12/02/2014. Available online  
<http://poultry.purinamills.com/Nutritionmanagement/default.aspx>
- Sennelier (2009).Sennelier Egg Tempera. Accessed online 2/12/2009  
[http://www.e.artstore.net/paints\\_tempera\\_sen.html](http://www.e.artstore.net/paints_tempera_sen.html)
- Shenstone, F.S. (1968). The cross composition, chemistry and phsico-chemical basis of organisation of the yolk and white in Egg quality. *A study of hen 's egg*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. pp 26-58.
- Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1992) Statistical Methods. 8<sup>th</sup> Ed. *Iowa State*; Pp 150-155.
- Soltan , M.A (2008). Effect of Dietary Organic Acid Supplementation on Egg Production, Egg Quality and some Blood Serum Parameters in Laying Hens.*International Journal of PoultrySciences* 7(6): 613-621.
- Sridhar, R. and Lakshminarayana, G. (1993).Lipid Classes, Fatty Acids, and Tocopherols of Leaves of Six Edible Plant Species, *Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry*; 41:61-63.

Stadelman, W.J. (1977). Quality identification of shell eggs: *egg science and technology*; 1<sup>st</sup>ed. Haworth Press, Inc., New York, London, pp. 39-66.

Statistical Analysis System Institute Incorporation (1998) SAS/ Statistics Users Guide release: Carry, Inc., pp.1028

Tesleem, I., Folusho, A., Gani, O. and Rasak O.M. (2008). *Mineral And Phytochemical Content In Leaves of Talinum triangulare (Water Leaf) Subjected to Different Processing Methods. The Internet Journal of Nutrition and Wellness*.7(2):457-463.

Uluocak, A.N., Okan, F., Efe, E. and Nacar, H. (2000). Bildircinyumurtalarindabazi disveickali teozelliklervebunlarnyasa gore degisimi. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary Animal Science* 19:181-185.

Weinreb, O., Mandel, S., Amit, T. and Youdin, M.B. (2004).Neurological mechanism of green tea polyphenols in Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases.*Journal of Nutrition and Biochem*; 15:506-516.